



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,515	07/09/2001	Roger Collins	05545P002	7416

7590 06/24/2003

Thomas C. Webster
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

CHEN, WENPENG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/902,515

Applicant(s)

COLLINS, ROGER

Examiner

Wenpeng Chen

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2,4,5. 6) ☐ Other: .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4-5, 9, 12, 14, 22 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carr (US patent 5,293,379.)

a. For Claims 1 and 4-5, Carr teaches a method for compressing a message comprising:

-- identifying a first field and a second field within the message; (column 6, line 64 to column 7, line 46; Figs. 5-6; The data are identified as a set of static, semi-static and dynamic fields collected header fields that represents a collected first field and user data that represent a second field.)

-- applying a first set of code words to encode data in the first field; (column 6, line 64 to column 7, line 46; Figs. 5-6; The set of static, semi-static and dynamic fields collected header fields that represents a collected first field is coded with header dictionary that has a first set of code words.)

-- applying a second set of code words to encode data in the second field; (column 6, line 64 to column 7, line 46; Figs. 5-6; The user data that represent a second field are coded with a user data dictionary that has a second set of code words.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- wherein the first field is an email header field and the second field is an email text field; (column 1, lines 39-47; Fig. 5; A message transferred in a network is an email. The set of static, semi-static and dynamic fields is an email header.)

-- wherein the first field is an address book field and the second field is an email message field. (column 1, lines 39-47; Fig. 5; A message transferred in a network is an email. Carr teaches that destination address and source address are included in the header data set. Therefore, the header is considered as an address book field.)

b. For Claims 9, 12, and 14, Carr teaches a method comprising:

-- generating a first code word table containing code words for a plurality of character strings found in a first message field; (column 6, line 64 to column 7, line 46; Figs. 5-6; The generated header dictionary is the first code word table.)

-- generating a second code word table containing code words for a plurality of character strings found in a second message field; (column 6, line 64 to column 7, line 46; Figs. 5-6; The generated data dictionary is the second code word table.)

-- encoding character strings in the first field using the first code word table and character strings in the second field using the second code word table; (column 6, line 64 to column 9, line 4; Figs. 5-9)

-- wherein the first field is an email address field; (column 1, lines 39-47; Fig. 5; A message transferred in a network is an email. The set of static, semi-static and dynamic fields is an email header. Carr teaches that destination address and source address are included in the header data set. Therefore, the header is considered as an address field.)

-- encoding the message further using one or more alternate compression techniques. ((1) column 7, lines 13-16: Compression techniques other than LZW can be used. (2) Fig. 6 also shows that user data of different protocols can be compressed with different data dictionaries. LZW with different data dictionaries is considered in general to be different compression techniques.)

c. With regard to Claims 22 and 25-26; Carr further teaches machine-readable medium having program code stored thereon to carry out the steps of Claims 22 and 25-26, the steps being discussed above. (column 4, lines 33-51)

3. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unger et al. (US patent 5,991,713.)

Unger teaches a method for compressing a message comprising:

-- replacing character strings within the message with data identifying a location of the character strings within a spell check dictionary stored on a data processing device. (column 8, line 61 to column 9, line 54; column 11, lines 6-18; Figs. 8-9; step 210 of Fig. 8; A dictionary of common English words is a spell check dictionary. The numbers (or tokens) are the locations.)

-- wherein the message is an email message; (column 1, lines 5-31; column 3, lines 35-50; Messages sent through an internet are email messages.)

-- using one or more alternate compression techniques to further compress the message; (column 11, lines 19-27; Alternate compression techniques are used to compress those that cannot be compressed with the predetermined dictionaries with supplemental dictionaries.)

Art Unit: 2624

-- wherein one of the alternate compression techniques is a Huffman coding technique; (column 11, lines 19-44; column 1, lines 39-46; The supplemental dictionary associated with the unusual words are compressed with any known method. One common method is disclosed in column 1 and is a Huffman coding technique.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3, 10-11, 16, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr as applied to Claims 1, 9, and 22, and further in view of Unger et al. (US patent 5,991,713.)

a. Carr teaches the parental Claims 1, 9, 22. Carr further teaches that other string compression algorithms are also applicable in the method explained with Figs. 3-9, namely compressing header and data with different dictionaries. However, Carr does not teach explicitly that the code words are based on the frequency associated to the above claims.

Unger teaches a method for compressing a message. In the method, different types of data are coded with different dictionaries. (column 8, line 62 to column 9, line 14) The method comprises:

Art Unit: 2624

-- generating for each dictionary a set of code words based on the frequency with which character strings represented by the code words are found within the type of data, wherein character strings which are relatively more common within the type of data are represented by relatively shorter code words in the set of code words; (column 1, lines 39-46; column 2, lines 23-54; column 9, lines 39-54; Each data of a language and subject is associated with a dictionary. Shorter token is assigned to a word of high frequency.)

-- initially performing a statistical analysis of character strings found in the type of data to determine a frequency of occurrence of each of the character strings; (To establish a dictionary based on frequency requires performing a statistical analysis of character strings in that type of data.)

-- wherein one of the techniques comprises identifying strings in the first or second fields based on a location of the strings in a spell-check dictionary. (column 8, line 61 to column 9, line 54; column 11, lines 6-18; Figs. 8-9; step 210 of Fig. 8; A dictionary of common English words is a spell check dictionary. The numbers (or tokens) are the locations.)

It is desirable to compress efficiently a text message. It is known in the art that Unger's dictionary can achieve a high degree of compression for each specific dictionary associated with a special language or subject. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to replace Carr's dictionaries with dictionaries developed with Unger's teaching for each of the header data and user data because this replacement improves compression efficiency. The combination thus teaches the following features:

-- initially performing a statistical analysis of character strings found in the first message field and the second message field to determine a frequency of occurrence of each of the character strings;

-- generating the first set of code words based on the frequency with which character strings represented by the code words are found within the first field;

-- generating the second set of code words based on the frequency with which character strings represented by the code words are found within the second field;

-- wherein character strings which are relatively more common within the first field are represented by relatively shorter code words in the first set of code words and character strings which are relatively more common within the second field are represented by relatively shorter code words in the second set of code words.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr as applied to Claim 12, and further in view of Avital (US patent application publication 2002/0129125.)

Carr teaches the parental Claim 12. However, Carr does not teach that the second field is an address book address field.

Avital teaches that one type of user data that are exchanged between internet users is address book information. (section 50)

It is desirable to be able to transmit efficiently various information data which are sent through a message. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use Carr's method to compress and deliver Avital's address book information as

Art Unit: 2624

user data because this combination improves transmission efficiency. The combination thus teaches that the second field is an address book address field.

7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger et al. as applied to Claim 17, and further in view of Ackley (US patent 6,422,476.)

Unger teaches the parental Claim 17. However, Unger does not teach the conversion recited in Claim 21.

Ackley teaches a method for data compression in which ASCII text is converted to a 6-bit character format. (column 4, lines 49-65; column 7, lines 24-53)

It is desirable to compress more efficiently a text message that comprises mostly the full ASCII characters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply Ackley's teaching to convert Unger's ASCII text to a 6-bit character format because the combination improves compression efficiency.

8. Claims 6-8, 15, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr in view of Unger as discussed above, and further in view of Ackley (US patent 6,422,476.)

The combination of Carr and Unger teaches method and medium for compression of email text with dictionaries taught by Unger as discussed above. However, the combination does not teach the conversion associated with the he above-listed claims.

Ackley teaches a method for data compression in which

Art Unit: 2624

-- ASCII text is converted to a 6-bit character format; (column 4, lines 49-65; column 7, lines 24-53)

-- providing one or more 6-bit escape sequences indicating that code following the sequence represents data compressed using a particular compression technique; (codes 47-52 of Fig. 1 and Fig. 8; Codes 47-52 of Fig. 1 are the 6-bit escape codes, each initiating a particular compression technique.)

-- wherein relatively common characters are encoded using 6 bits and relatively uncommon characters are encoded using two successive sequences of 6 bits. (Fig. 4; For example, the common character such as ASCII code 65 (A) is assign a 6-bit 93i word A and the relatively uncommon character such as ASCII code 34 (*) is assign two 6-bit words: 93i word [S3} and 93i word B.)

It is desirable to compress more efficiently a text message that comprises mostly the full ASCII characters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply Ackley's teaching to the method taught by the combination of Carr and Unger, because with converting ASCII text to the 6-bit character format the overall combination improves compression efficiency.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 703 306-2796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on 703 308-7452. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Wenpeng Chen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2624

June 19, 2003

